## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (x) Yes ( ) No
Requestor's Name and Address Corpus Christi Medical Center dba HCA Doctors Regional Medical Center c/o Hollaway & Gumbert 4301 Kirby Dr., Ste. 1288	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-8865-01
	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Houston, TX 77098-3926	
Respondent's Name and Address Zurich American Insurance Co.	Date of Injury:
c/o Flahive, Ogden & Latson Box 19	Employer's Name: Fugro, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 2230102142

### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	rinount in Dispute	i inount Duc
07/24/02	07/29/02	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$23,156.34	\$0.00

### PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position Summary states in part, "...Based upon review by the insurance carrier, Zurich American Insurance Company ('Zurich American') and its audit department, alleges that the aforementioned claim has been properly paid. On the contrary, specifically, per Rule 134.401(c)(6)(A)(i)(iii), once the bill has reached the minimum stop-loss threshold of \$40K, the entire admission will be paid using the stop-loss reimbursement factor ('SLRF') of 75%..."

#### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position Summary states in part, "...In order for the hospital to prove entitlement to the application of the stop-loss rule, the hospital must show the services provided were unusually extensive, unusually costly and/or arose from an unusually lengthy stay... The provider is unable to establish that the services provided were unusually extensive, unusually costly and/or arose from an unusually lengthy stay, thus the Provider is not entitled to have the stop-loss provisions apply, regardless of the amount of the total billed charges..."

# PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 5 days (consisting of 5 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$5,590.00 (5 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

 Biomet, Inc. Invoice
 \$3,236.28

 10%:
 323.68

 Cost + 10%
 \$3,559.96

 Per Diem (5 days):
 5,590.00

 Total Reimbursement:
 \$9,149.96

The Requestor billed the Respondent \$43.223.00 and received payment(s) totaling \$9.260.91. Considering the reimbursement amount

calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.				
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION				
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is <b>not</b> entitled to additional reimbursement.				
Findings and Decision by:				
A d : 10:	Marguerite Foster	03/17/05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A H	IEARING			
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		